

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/930,741	08/15/2001	Edwin Frank Rejda	1734.041US1	1976	
7	7590 12/27/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Paul T Dietz			MCDONALD, RODNEY GLENN		
Seagate Technology Intellectual Pro	ology LLC operty Department -NR`	W097	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	r Avenue South		1753		
Bloomington,	MN 55435	·			

DATE MAILED: 12/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)	0				
	09/9	30,741	REJDA ET AL.	6/0				
Office Action Summary		niner	Art Unit					
	Rodn	ey G. McDonald	1753					
The MAILING DATE of this com	nmunication appears o	n the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the pro- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If the period for reply specified above, the maxin - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxin - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70	MUNICATION. visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In s communication. hirty (30) days, a reply within th num statutory period will apply in reply will, by statute, cause th onths after the mailing date of t	no event, however, may a ne statutory minimum of thi and will expire SIX (6) MOI ne application to become A	reply be timely filed try (30) days will be considered timel NTHS from the mailing date of this come BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 October</u>	2004.						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL .								
Disposition of Claims								
 4) Claim(s) 8-10,12-20 and 30-46 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 8,9,12 and 39-42 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 10,13-20,30-38 and 43-46 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<u> </u>	laine fan fansinn missik		0.440(=) (-1) = = (0					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a capital All b) Some * c) None 1. Certified copies of the price 2. Certified copies of the price 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the price copies of the certified copies of the c	of: ority documents have ority documents have pies of the priority doc national Bureau (PCT	been received. been received in Accuments have been Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revious Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 			s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTC 	D-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 10, 13-19, 20, 30-38 and 43-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 10, lines 3 and 4, "the shutter" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 13, lines 6, 8 and 9, is indefinite because "the elongated element" lacks antecedent basis. It should be "the element".

Claim 18, lines 4-6, is it is unclear whether "the shutter" refers to the first or second shutter.

Claim 20, line 7, is indefinite because the first occurrence of "the plurality of targets" should be "the plurality of shutters".

Claim 30, line 12, is indefinite because "the first and the second shutter" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 35, lines 4-7, is indefinite because "the first and the second shutter" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 37, line 3, is indefinite because "the at least one magneto resistive element" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 37, line 5, is indefinite because the phrase "the magneto resistive" is unclear.

Claim 37, lines 6 and 7, is indefinite because "the at least magneto resistive element" is unclear and lacks antecedent basis.

Art Unit: 1753

Claim 43, lines 2-5, is indefinite because the three occurrences of "the first shutter and the second shutter" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 45, line 2, the phrase "the at least one magneto resistive element" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 45, lines 3 and 4, the phase "the magneto resistive element" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 45, lines 5 and 6, the phrase "the at least magneto resistive element" lacks antecedent basis.

Double Patenting

Claim 46 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 38. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8, 9, 12 and 39-42 are allowed.

Claims 10, 13-20, 30-38, 43-46 are would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Art Unit: 1753

Claims 8-10 and 12-19 are indicated as being allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record does not teach the claimed subject matter including a mask including a first shutter, a second shutter, and an actuator for moving the first and second shutter and a controller, wherein the controller is structured to monitor at least one property level of the element, further wherein the controller is structured to independently actuate each of the first and second shutter based on property level.

Claim 20 is indicated as being allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record does not teach the claimed subject matter including a mask having a plurality of shutters positioned adjacent the plurality of targets; and a controller means for monitoring a property level of the plurality of targets, and independently actuating each of the plurality of targets based on each of the plurality of targets property level.

Claims 30-38 and 46 are indicated as being allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record does not teach a mask including at least one shutter and an actuator for moving the at least one shutter, wherein the mask is used to selectively cover a first portion of the elongated element as the wafer process continues to act on a second portion of the elongated element, the wafer process substantially halting with respect to the first portion of the elongated element; and a controller, wherein the controller is structured to monitor a property level of the elongated element, further wherein the controller is structured to independently actuate each of the first and second shutter based on property level.

Claims 39-45 are indicated as being allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record does not teach the claimed subject matter including a controller for the actuator, the controller actuating the at least one shutter between an open position where the at least one shutter is covering a portion of the elongated element, and a covering position where the at least one shutter is covering the portion of the elongated element, in response to a monitored property level associated with the portion of the elongated element being at a predefined value.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Paper, filed October 14, 2004, with respect to the claims have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) and 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection of claims 8, 12 and 20 and claims 8-10, 12 and 30-32, respectively have been withdrawn.

The remaining issues are the 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections and the Double Patenting objection given above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney G. McDonald whose telephone number is 571-272-1340. The examiner can normally be reached on M- Th with Every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam X. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/930,741 Page 6

Art Unit: 1753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rodney G. McDonald Primary Examiner Art Unit 1753

RM December 22, 2004